

A REGULARITY OF THE WEAK SOLUTION GRADIENT OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR DIVERGENT FORM ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN MORREY SPACES

by Philotheus Tuerah

Submission date: 06-May-2022 10:14AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1829523674

File name: JURNAL_TUERAH_1.pdf (103.32K)

Word count: 2354

Character count: 8560



**A REGULARITY OF THE WEAK SOLUTION GRADIENT OF THE DIRICHLET
PROBLEM FOR DIVERGENT FORM ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN MORREY
SPACES**

NICKY K. TUMALUN AND PHILOTHEUS E. A. TUERAH

Received 27 February, 2021; accepted 9 September, 2021; published 8 October, 2021.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MANADO, TONDANO SELATAN, MINAHASA
REGENCY, SULAWESI UTARA PROVINCE, INDONESIA.

nickytumalun@unima.ac.id

pheatuerah@unima.ac.id

16 ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that the gradient of the weak solution of the Dirichlet problem for divergent form elliptic equations, with the known term belongs to the Morrey spaces, is the element of the weak Morrey spaces.

Key words and phrases: Dirichlet problem; Morrey spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J25, 35B65. Secondary 46E30, 42B35.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

© 2021 Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the regularity properties of the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = f, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where f belongs to some various Morrey spaces, have been studied by some authors (for example, see [2, 3, 5]). Here Ω is bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev spaces, and L is divergent form elliptic operator defined in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

By using the assumption that f belongs to the Morrey spaces $L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for $0 < \lambda < n - 2$, Di Fazio [3] showed that the weak solution of (1.1) is the element of the weak Morrey spaces $wL^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2}{n-\lambda}$. For more sharp result, in the sense of inclusion between Morrey spaces, Di Fazio [4] also proved that the weak solution of (1.1) is the element of the weak Morrey spaces $wL^{q,\lambda}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{q\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n-\lambda}$, by taking f from the Morrey spaces $L^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for $0 < \lambda < n - 2$.

For the case $n - 2 < \lambda < n$ and f is in the Morrey spaces $L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$, Cirmi et. al [2] showed that the weak solution (1.1) is bounded essentially in Ω and its gradient belongs to the Morrey spaces $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, for some $n - 2 < p \leq \lambda$.

Di Fazio has not investigated the regularity of the weak solution gradient of (1.1). In this paper, we continue his works, which are different from that one by Cirmi et. al in case of parameter λ . We prove that the the weak solution gradient of (1.1) belongs to the weak Morrey spaces $wL^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p\lambda} = 1 - \frac{1}{n-\lambda}$, by assuming f is in the Morrey spaces $L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for $0 < \lambda < n - 2$.

2. DIRICHLET PROBLEM AND MORREY SPACES

Let Ω be an open, bounded, and connected subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$. These assumptions are always assumed for Ω . For $a \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$, we define

$$B(a, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - a| < r\},$$

and

$$\Omega(a, r) = \Omega \cap B(a, r) = \{y \in \Omega : |y - a| < r\}.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$, the Morrey space $L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$ is the set of all functions $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$\|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}} = \sup_{a \in \Omega, r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{r^\lambda} \int_{\Omega(a,r)} |f(y)| dy \right).$$

Meanwhile, the weak Morrey space $wL^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ is the set of all measurable functions f defined on Ω which satisfies

$$\sup_{a \in \Omega, t > 0} \left(\frac{\sup_{t > 0} t |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : f(x) > t\}|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}} \right) < \infty.$$

For $q = 1, 2$, let $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ is denoted the Sobolev spaces. The closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. We consider the following second order divergent elliptic operator

$$(2.1) \quad Lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{i,j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right),$$

where $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$,

$$a_{i,j} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and there exists $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\nu|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \nu^{-1}|\xi|^2,$$

for every $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for almost every $x \in \Omega$. We also assume a regularity condition of the coefficients $a_{i,j}$ of the operator L , that is,

$$|a_{i,j}(x) - a_{i,j}(y)| \leq \omega(|x - y|), \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

where $\omega : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing, satisfies

$$\omega(2t) \leq C\omega(t)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and for all $t > 0$, and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty.$$

Let $f \in L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$. We are interested in investigating the following Dirichlet problem

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = f, \\ u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where L is defined by (2.1).

The function $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is called the weak solution of equation (2.2) if

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x_j} dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x)\phi(x) dx,$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

3. TOOLS

Theorem 3.1 (Grüter and Widman, [6]). *There exists a unique function $G : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $G \geq 0$, such that for each $y \in \Omega$ and any $r > 0$*

$$(3.1) \quad G(\cdot, y) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \setminus B(y, r)) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega),$$

and for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x_j} dx = \phi(y).$$

Furthermore, there exists a positive constant $C_0 = C(n, \nu)$ and $C_1 = C_1(n, \nu, \omega, \Omega)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad G(x, y) \leq C_0 \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2}},$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad |\nabla G(x, y)| \leq C_1 \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$, with $x \neq y$.

The function G in Theorem 3.1 is called the Green function for L and Ω . Fix $y \in \Omega$. According to (3.1), $G(\cdot, y)$ has a weak derivative in Ω , which is denoted by $\frac{\partial G(x,y)}{\partial x_i}$. Therefore

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial G(x,y)}{\partial x_i} \phi(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} G(x,y) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x_i} dx,$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, defined by

$$M(f)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(\bar{x}, r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

for every $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.2. [1] Let $0 < \lambda < n$, $a \in \Omega$, and $r > 0$. If $f \in L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$, then there exists a positive constant C , which is independent from a and r , such that

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{t>0} t |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : M(f)(x) > t\}| \leq Cr^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}.$$

The first proof of Theorem 3.2 was given by [1]. For more simple and elegant proof of this theorem, we refer to [7].

4. AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR AND MAIN RESULT

From now on, we always assume that $0 < \lambda < n - 2$. Let G be the Green function for L and Ω . For $f \in L^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$, we define

$$(4.1) \quad u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x,y) f(y) dy$$

for every $x \in \Omega$. Next we define

$$(4.2) \quad u_i(x) = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial G(x,y)}{\partial x_i} \right| f(y) dy,$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x \in \Omega$.

We note that the function u which is defined by (4.1) is the unique weak solution of (2.2). This fact can be seen in [3].

Theorem 4.1. [17] There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| \leq Cr^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{1-\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}},$$

for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$, and $t > 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega$ and $\delta > 0$. By virtue of (3.4) we first estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy = \int_{\Omega(x,2\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x,2\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy = I_1 + I_2.$$

We bound I_1 by using the Hedberg estimation, that is,

$$I_1 \leq C(n)\delta M(f)(x).$$

Now we compute the bound of I_2 as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{2\delta \leq |x-y|} \frac{|f(y)\chi_\Omega(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k\delta \leq |x-y| < 2^{k+1}\delta} \frac{|f(y)\chi_\Omega(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \\ &\leq C(n) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^{k+1}\delta)^{n-1}} \frac{(2^{k+1}\delta)^\lambda}{(2^{k+1}\delta)^\lambda} \int_{2^k\delta \leq |x-y| < 2^{k+1}\delta} |f(y)\chi_\Omega(y)| dy \\ &\leq C(n, \lambda) \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}} \delta^{\lambda-n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2^{n-1}}\right)^k = C(n, \lambda) \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}} \delta^{\lambda-n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \leq C(n, \lambda) [\delta M(f)(x) + \delta^{\lambda-n+1} \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}],$$

by using the estimations of I_1 and I_2 . We choose

$$\delta = \left(\frac{M(f)(x)}{\|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda-n}}$$

to minimize the right hand side of (4.4). Then

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \leq C(n, \lambda) M(f)(x)^{\frac{\lambda-n+1}{\lambda-n}} \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n}}.$$

Note that, according to (3.4) and (4.5), then

$$(4.6) \quad |u_i(x)| \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \leq C M(f)(x)^{\frac{\lambda-n+1}{\lambda-n}} \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n}},$$

for every $x \in \Omega$, where $C = C(n, \lambda, C_1)$. Let $a \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$. For every $t > 0$, we have

$$|\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| \leq \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega(a, r) : M(f)(x) > C t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}} \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}} \right\} \right|.$$

Now we use Theorem 3.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\{ x \in \Omega(a, r) : M(f)(x) > C t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}} \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{r^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}}{C t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}} \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}}} = C \frac{r^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{1-\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}}}{t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is independent from a, r , and t . This means

$$t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| \leq C r^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{1,\lambda}}^{1-\frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}}$$

for every $a \in \Omega, r > 0$, and $t > 0$. ■

Lemma 4.2. $u_i \in L^1(\Omega)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $a \in \Omega$. Since Ω is bounded, then we can choose $r > 0$ such that $\Omega \subseteq B(a, r)$. This means $\Omega = \Omega(a, r)$. By the Cavalieri Principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_i(x)| dx &= \int_{\Omega(a, r)} |u_i(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| dt \\ &= \int_0^{|\Omega(a, r)|} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| dt \\ &\quad + \int_{|\Omega(a, r)|}^{\infty} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_0^{|\Omega(a, r)|} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| dt \leq \int_0^{|\Omega(a, r)|} |\Omega(a, r)| dt = |\Omega(a, r)|^2 < \infty.$$

Using Theorem 4.1 and the fact $\frac{n-\lambda}{\lambda-n+1} + 1 < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\Omega(a, r)|}^{\infty} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}| dt &\leq Cr^{\lambda} \int_{|\Omega(a, r)|}^{\infty} t^{\frac{n-\lambda}{\lambda-n+1}} dt \\ &= Cr^{\lambda} |\Omega(a, r)|^{\frac{n-\lambda}{\lambda-n+1} + 1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_i(x)| dx \leq |\Omega(a, r)|^2 + Cr^{\lambda} |\Omega(a, r)|^{\frac{n-\lambda}{\lambda-n+1} + 1} < \infty.$$

This proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 4.3. If u is defined by (4.1), then the weak derivatives of u is given by

$$\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\int_{\Omega} G(x, y) f(y) dy \right) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x_i} f(y) dy,$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let ϕ be an arbitrary element of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We claim that $\frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x_i} f(y) \phi(x) \in L^1(\Omega \times \Omega)$. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x_i} f(y) \phi(x) \right| dy dx &= \int_{\Omega} |u_i(x)| |\phi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \max_{x \in \Omega} |\phi(x)| \int_{\Omega} |u_i(x)| dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is concluded from Tonelli's theorem and Lemma 4.2. Therefore we can use Fubini's theorem and (3.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} G(x, y) f(y) dy \right) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x_i} dx &= \int_{\Omega} f(y) \left(\int_{\Omega} G(x, y) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x_i} dx \right) dy \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} f(y) \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x_i} \phi(x) dx \right) dy \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x_i} f(y) dy \right) \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

The following is our main theorem. This shows that the gradient of the weak solution of (2.2) belongs to the weak Morrey spaces.

Theorem 4.4. *If u is defined by (4.1), then $|\nabla u| \in wL^{p\lambda, \lambda}(\Omega)$ where $\frac{1}{p\lambda} = 1 - \frac{1}{n-\lambda}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \in wL^{p\lambda, \lambda}(\Omega)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. According to Lemma 4.3, (4.2), and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$t^{\frac{22}{\lambda-n+1}} \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega(a, r) : \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right| > t \right\} \right| \leq t^{\frac{\lambda-n}{\lambda-n+1}} |\{x \in \Omega(a, r) : |u_i(x)| > t\}|$$

$$\leq Cr^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{1, \lambda}}^{1 - \frac{\lambda-n-1}{\lambda-n+1}}.$$

Therefore this theorem is proved. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] F. CHIARENZA and M. FRASCA, Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, *Rend. Math.*, **7** (1987), pp. 273-279.
- [2] G. R. CIRMI, S. D'ASERO, and S. LEONARDI, Morrey estimates for a class of elliptic equations with drift terms, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, **9** (2020), pp. 1333-1350.
- [3] G. DI FAZIO, Regularity for elliptic equations under minimal assumptions, *J. Indones. Math. Soc.*, **26(1)** (2020), pp. 101-127.
- [4] G. DI FAZIO, On Dirichlet problem in Morrey spaces, *J. Differential and Integral Equations*, **6** (1993), pp. 383-391.
- [5] V. S. GULIYEV, M. N. OMAROVA, M. A. RAGUSA, and A. SCAPELLATO, Regularity of solutions of elliptic equations in divergence form in modified local generalized Morrey spaces, *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, **11** (2020), pp. 1-20.
- [6] M. GRÜTER and K. O. WIDMAN, The Green function for uniformly elliptic equations, *Manuscripta Math.*, **37(3)** (1982), pp. 303-342.
- [7] E. NAKAI, Generalized fractional integrals on generalized Morrey spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, **287(2)** (2014), pp. 339-351.

A REGULARITY OF THE WEAK SOLUTION GRADIENT OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR DIVERGENT FORM ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN MORREY SPACES

ORIGINALITY REPORT

15%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journals.sns.it Internet Source	1%
2	Vagif S. Guliyev, Lubomira G. Softova. "Generalized Morrey Regularity for Parabolic Equations with Discontinuous Data", Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 2014 Publication	1%
3	www.math.mcmaster.ca Internet Source	1%
4	Cruz-Urbe, D.. "Extrapolation from A^p -weights and applications", Journal of Functional Analysis, 20040815 Publication	1%
5	archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de Internet Source	1%
6	core.ac.uk Internet Source	1%

7	S. J. Ombrosi. "MIXED WEAK TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR ONE-SIDED OPERATORS", The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 06/05/2008	1 %
Publication		
8	Luca Bisconti, Marco Spadini. "Sunflower model: time-dependent coefficients and topology of the periodic solutions set", Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA, 2015	1 %
Publication		
9	Vicente Asensio López. "Global pseudodifferential operators in spaces of ultradifferentiable functions", Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, 2021	1 %
Publication		
10	Zhendong Sun. "", IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 3/2008	1 %
Publication		
11	Idha Sihwaningrum, Sri Maryani, Hendra Gunawan. "Extension of Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev Inequalities for Riesz Potentials on Hypergroups", Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 2020	1 %
Publication		
12	www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr	1 %
Internet Source		

13	www.univie.ac.at Internet Source	<1 %
14	Vakhtang Kokilashvili. "Maximal functions and potentials in variable exponent Morrey spaces with non-doubling measure", Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 05/12/2010 Publication	<1 %
15	Wenjing Chen, Fang Yu. "On a nonhomogeneous Kirchhoff-type elliptic problem with critical exponential in dimension two", Applicable Analysis, 2020 Publication	<1 %
16	Xiaoxia Ren, Zhaoyin Xiang, Zhifei Zhang. "Low regularity well-posedness for the viscous surface wave equation", Science China Mathematics, 2019 Publication	<1 %
17	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1 %
18	Auscher, P.. "Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity", Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale superieure, 200411/12 Publication	<1 %
19	Chern. "Sobolev Spaces and L2 Regularity Theory", Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 2002 Publication	<1 %

20 F. Cuccu, B. Emamizadeh, G. Porru. "Optimization problems for an elastic plate", Journal of Mathematical Physics, 2006
Publication <1 %

21 Lucio Boccardo, Luigi Orsina. "Regularizing effect for a system of Schrödinger–Maxwell equations", Advances in Calculus of Variations, 2018
Publication <1 %

22 Toru Maruyama. "Chapter 6 Disintegration of Young Measures and Nonlinear Analysis", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020
Publication <1 %

23 apps.dtic.mil
Internet Source <1 %

24 www.repo.uni-hannover.de
Internet Source <1 %

25 Juan Pablo Borthagaray, Ricardo H. Nochetto, Abner J. Salgado. "Weighted Sobolev regularity and rate of approximation of the obstacle problem for the integral fractional Laplacian", Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 2019
Publication <1 %

26 Maria Alessandra Ragusa. "Continuity of the derivatives of solutions related to elliptic <1 %

systems", Proceedings of the Royal Society of
Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, 2007

Publication

27

W. Y. Qiu, R. Wong. "Uniform Asymptotic
Formula for Orthogonal Polynomials with
Exponential Weight", SIAM Journal on
Mathematical Analysis, 2000

Publication

<1 %

28

S. Zhang, Qi. "The global behavior of heat
kernels in exterior domains", Journal of
Functional Analysis, 20030510

Publication

<1 %

29

Teofanov, Lj.. "An elliptic singularly perturbed
problem with two parameters I: Solution
decomposition", Journal of Computational and
Applied Mathematics, 20070915

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 5 words

Exclude bibliography On