Authors:
Fid Tasiam, Djoko Kustono, Purnomo Purnomo, Hakkun Elmunsyah

Decision letter:
Dear Fid Tasiam,

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript, entitled: Development of Electrical Engineering Teacher Competency Model Facing ASEAN Economic Community, has been finally accepted for publication in our journal.

Thank you for submitting your work to us.

Sincerely,

Dr. Alfiya Masalimova
Editor, International Journal of Environmental and Science Education
E-mail: lookacademy@mail.ru
E-mail: editorijese@gmail.com

Please make the quotable amount payable to the beneficiary in 7 business days.
PayPal account: lookacademy@mail.ru
Fee is 425 USD

Review 1:

The statement of the Reviewer
I declare that I have guessed the identity of the Author, but there is no conflict of interest*

Evaluation form:
If the one of the answers above is negative, please give clear arguments to "Information for Authors" part of the form.

Is the subject of this article relevant to the scope of the Journal?
Yes

Does the article contain new data or new ideas? / Is the article a new and an original contribution? (For review articles this need not necessarily apply)
Yes

Are interpretations and conclusions sound, justified by the data and consistent with the objectives?
Yes

Does the title clearly reflect its contents?
Very well

Is the abstract sufficiently informative, especially when read in isolation?
Very well

If applicable, are adequate Keywords given?
Very well

Are the references adequate and in agreement with for Authors?
Well

Is the organization of article satisfactory (e.g. no discussion in Results)?
Very well

Are the description of materials and methods adequate?
Very well

Are the results clearly presented?
Very well

Are the discussion and conclusions consistent with the aims, foundations and results?
Very well

Are the discussion supported by the relevant literature?
Very well

Overall, this paper is good enough in presenting the study about Development of Electrical Engineering Teacher Competency. This paper has novelty and contribution to application in vocational education, but there is no new proposed model and just use the existing model. This paper meets with the criteria to be published in IJESE.

Review 2:

The statement of the Reviewer
I declare that I have guessed the identity of the Author, but there is no conflict of interest*

Evaluation form:
If the one of the answers above is negative, please give clear arguments to "Information for Authors" part of the form.

Is the subject of this article relevant to the scope of the Journal?
Yes

Does the article contain new data or new ideas? / Is the article a new and an original contribution? (For review articles this need not necessarily apply)
Yes

Are interpretations and conclusions sound, justified by the data and consistent with the objectives?
Yes

Does the title clearly reflect its contents?
Very well

Is the abstract sufficiently informative, especially when read in isolation?
Very well

If applicable, are adequate Keywords given?
Well

Are the references adequate and in agreement with for Authors?
Well

Is the organization of article satisfactory (e.g. no discussion in Results)?
Very well

Are the description of materials and methods adequate?
Well

Are the results clearly presented?
Very well

Are the discussion and conclusions consistent with the aims, foundations and results?
Very well

Are the discussion supported by the relevant literature?
Very well

He is taking his doctoral degree and ofcourse he has done his research well.

Review 3:

The statement of the Reviewer
I declare that I have guessed the identity of the Author, but there is no conflict of

interest*

Evaluation form:
If the one of the answers above is negative, please give clear arguments to "Information for Authors" part of the form.

Is the subject of this article relevant to the scope of the Journal?
Yes

Does the article contain new data or new ideas? / Is the article a new and an original contribution? (For review articles this need not necessarily apply)
Yes

Are interpretations and conclusions sound, justified by the data and consistent with the objectives?
Yes

Does the title clearly reflect its contents?
Very well

Is the abstract sufficiently informative, especially when read in isolation?
Very well

If applicable, are adequate Keywords given?
Very well

Are the references adequate and in agreement with for Authors?
Well

Is the organization of article satisfactory (e.g. no discussion in Results)?
Very well

Are the description of materials and methods adequate?
Very well

Are the results clearly presented?
Very well

Are the discussion and conclusions consistent with the aims, foundations and results?
Very well

Are the discussion supported by the relevant literature?
Very well

This papers cant publition in IJESE